

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

FRIDAY, THE 2ND MARCH 2007 / 11TH PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 7032 of 2007(K)

PETITIONERS:

- 1. VIJAYAKUMAR M.,
PARAVAKKAL HOUSE, MARUTHORVATTOM P.O.,
CHERTHALA.**
- 2. SOBHANA DEVI L.K.,
LAKSHMIPURATH HOUSE, EDAKOCHI, KOCHI-6.**
- 3. MARY MIRANDA C.A.,
PERATHAN HOUSE, PUTHUVYPPU P.O.,
PIN-682 508.**
- 4. K.L.MARYKUTTY,
D-14/GCDA QUARTERS, GANDHI NAGAR, KOCHI-20.**
- 5. BINDU S.,
THUNDATHIL HOUSE, 137, MULLACKKAL NAGAR,
TRIPUNITHURA.**
- 6. T.SANTHOSH KUMAR,
REMA SADANAM, IRINJAL P.O., KANJIKUZHI,
KOTTAYAM.**
- 7. P.JANAKI AMMA,
PATHIRAKUNNATHU VEEDU, KOTTEL ROAD,
POTTAKUZHAY, KOCHI.**

**8. VIJAYA KUMAR P.G.,
PUTHENPURACKAL, VELOOR P.O., KOTTAYAM.**

**9. MANOJ KUMAR M.S.,
SREERAGAM, NETHALLOOR P.O., YMCA,
KARUKACHAL, KOTTAYAM.**

**BY ADV. SRI.T.A.SHAJI
SMT.T.V.NEEMA**

RESPONDENT:

**M.G.UNIVERSITY,
KOTTAYAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR.**

BY ADV. SHRI V. A. MUHAMMED, SC, MG UTY.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 02/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

K. M. JOSEPH, J.

W.P.C. NO. 7032 OF 2007 K

Dated this the 2nd March, 2007

JUDGMENT

Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and the learned standing counsel appearing for the respondent University.

2. Case of the petitioners, in brief, is as follows:

Petitioners have been employed in various posts as daily wage employees and almost all of them had been continuing without any break in service. Though the University published Notifications in the years 1996 and 2005 inviting applications and pursuant to the same the petitioners had applied, selection was not made. By Order dated 23.5.2006, the service of the petitioners were terminated without any reason. It is stated that similarly placed persons approached this Court and this Court directed the University to consider the case of the petitioners in those Writ Petitions, pursuant to which the University has resolved to re-engage them. Petitioners herein also claim similar

relief. Ventilating their grievance, the petitioners have filed Ext.P10 representation before the respondent. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that a decision may be directed to be taken on Ext.P10.

2. Without expressing any view on the merits of the matter, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the respondent to consider and take a decision on Ext.P10 in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible and, at any rate, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this Judgment. I leave open the contentions of petitioners.

K. M. JOSEPH, JUDGE

kbk.